

The Impact of Temporary Employment and Social Protection on Sickness Absence

Heather Scott-Marshall

Emile Tompa

Miao Fang

Institute for Work & Health Open Plenary May 4, 2010

Funding provided by the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (Grant #FRN 5773), and the Ontario Workplace Safety & Insurance Board Research Advisory Council (Grant #02 006)



Background

- Presentation based on two research manuscripts
 - OEM manuscript (2008) on work-related sickness absence
 - Work manuscript (forthcoming in 2010) on all-cause sickness absence
- Part of a larger research initiative on precarious employment experiences
- Defined as experiences that give rise to instability, lack of protection, insecurity, and social and economic vulnerability
- Growing literature that investigates the health implications of work forms and arrangements different from the post-war standard
- Key issue is insecurity across a number of dimension
 - Often identified with the employment contract



Precarious Employment Experiences

- Originally labelled as nonstandard or atypical work
- Based on notion that post-war standard for large segment of the working population (white males) was full-time permanent work
- Other features of standard work include regular hours, benefits, fixed location of work, training and advancement opportunities
- Key issue was security associated with continuity of employment
- Researchers have focused on various work forms and arrangement thought to be less secure—non-standard, contingent, temporary work
- But security/insecurity not just about continuity of employment
- Other aspects–OHS exposure, hours of work, earnings and benefits, location of work, etc.



Research Excellence Advancing Employee Health

Conceptual Framework



Contextual factors



Key Issues Relevant to the Current Studies (1)

- Reasons why temporary employment may lead to greater risk of adverse health outcomes
 - Insecurity arising from concerns about employment and earnings continuity– stress and strain
 - Type of work and related exposures different for individuals in temporary work

 higher levels of OHS risks
- Systematic review by Quinlan et al. (2001)
 - over 80% of studies found that precarious work arrangements were associated with inferior OHS outcomes
- Growing concern because of decline in permanent, full-time work and increased use of temporary/contract employment (including labour supplied by temporary agencies)



Key Issues Relevant to the Current Studies (2)

- Expansion of precarious employment threatens regulatory regimes designed to protect workers
 - Labour and OHS regulation
 - Difficulties associated with OHS enforcement
 - Fear or lack of knowledge about OHS issues
 - Triangulated employment relationships fracturing statutory responsibilities
 - Workers' compensation
 - Excluded from coverage
 - If covered, less likely to claim
 - Difficulties identifying source of exposures with multiple shortterm employment contracts



Reasons for Not Applying for Workers' Compensation

- Study by Quinlan and Mayhew (1999) report on survey undertaken in Australia of 8,800 workers
- 8.3% reported a work-related injury over the past 12 months, only 47% made a workers' compensation claim
- Shannon and Lowe (2002) found similar proportion in a Canadian study (40%)
- Reasons for not making a claim (from Quinlan and Mayhew, 1999):
 - 1. Self-employed- not eligible
 - 2. Minor injury- not necessary
 - 3. Not aware of workers' compensation
 - 4. Afraid of possible retrenchment
 - 5. Did not think eligible
 - 6. Concern about what others would think
 - 7. Other reasons



Temporary Employment and Sickness Absence Studies

- Several studies have investigated the relationship between temporary employment and OHS outcomes
 - Literature review by Virtanen et al. (2005)
 - Temporary workers have a higher risk of occupational injury
- Fewer studies investigate relationship between temporary employment and sickness absence
 - Generally consider all-cause sickness absences
 - A few consider absence due to work exposures
 - Mixed results—depends on nature of the employment contract
 - All-cause sickness generally lower
 - Work-related sickness absence less clear because of fewer studies, but also generally lower



Overview of Findings from Key Studies

- <u>Virtanen et al. (2005)</u>: reviewed published evidence of a relationship between temporary employment and health
 - Major finding: temporary workers have a higher risk of occupational injuries, though tend to have lower rates of sickness absence
- <u>Benavides et al. (2000)</u>: investigated the relationship between temporary/fixed-term employment and absence due to "health problems caused by the main job" in 15 EU countries
 - Major finding: rate of absence was generally higher for those in permanent employment
- <u>Gimeno et al. (2004)</u>: investigated differences in the rate of workrelated sickness absence in temporary versus permanent workers across 15 EU countries.
 - Major finding: lower rates of absence among temporary workers compared to permanent workers.



Threshold for Absence Taking

- Paradox of higher probability of injury/illness and lower probability of absence
- Criteria for taking absence varies across individuals (Kristensen, 1991)
- Positive and negative incentives for temporary workers to have higher threshold for absence taking
 - Lack of wage-replacement benefits
 - Desire to have contract renewed or secure a permanent job
 - Fear of dismissal
 - Avoiding negative impressions of coworkers and managers
- Recent study found that permanent employment protects workers from termination when they have high absence rates (Virtanen et al. 2006)
- Key issue is differences in social protection



Methodological Issues

- Most studies use cross sectional data
- Presents difficulties with determining direction of effect
 heath → employment type or employment type → heath
- Health selection effects not addressed in the literature
- Important contextual factors change with time in a job (job tenure)
 - Firm specific knowledge that bears on risk exposures
 - Evidence that newness on the job increases risk of OHS injury (Breslin and Smith, 2006)
 - Ability to take absence without reprisal associated with seniority
 - Specifically, probationary period with new job
- Individuals in temporary employment generally have lower tenure



Framing of the Studies (1)

Primary Hypothesis: Individuals in temporary employment have a higher risk of serious injuries/illnesses and higher probability sickness absence due to higher exposures

- After control for tenure, other aspects of social protection and relevant contextual factors
- Focus on sickness absences of one week or more
- Assume threshold effects less relevant for more serious injuries/illness
 associated with longer absences
- Most work-related sickness absence covered by workers' compensation
- Attribution error possible with multi-factorial, cumulative trauma and long-latency conditions
- We consider both work-related and all-cause sickness absences



Framing of the Studies (2)

Sub-hypotheses (related to social protection):

- 1. Short-tenure workers will have a higher probability of sickness absence of one week or more
 - Due to exposure such as inadequate training and/or more dangerous task assignments
- 2. Unionized workers will have a lower probability of work-related sickness absence
 - Protection through training and pressure for safer work conditions
- 3. Workers in larger firms will have a lower probability of work-related sickness absence
 - Standardized and well-developed HR practices, OHS training, and monitoring





Data Source

- Canadian Survey of Labour and Income Dynamics (SLID)
- Nationally representative longitudinal survey with six-year overlapping panels (first panel began in 1993)
- Our study draws from the third panel: 1999-2004
- Third panel was first to include a question on the nature of the employment contract (i.e., permanent versus temporary)
- Each panel comprises about 15,000 households, with one individual undergoing more in-depth interview (e.g., socio-demographic characteristics, labour-market activity, income sources and amounts, self-reported health status)
- Survey inquires about absences of one week or longer in each of six jobs



Sample

- Individuals who began a new job after 1999, and within the time period of the panel (five-year time frame)
- Aged 25-54 at the start of the job (prime-age workers)
- Full-time students and the self-employed were not included
- Final sample sizes
 - Work-related sickness absences (WSA) study (Study 1) N=4,777
 - All-cause sickness absences (ACSA) study (Study 2) N=5,307



SLID Sickness Absence Question

- Event/outcome of interest was the first occurrence of an absence of one week or greater due to an illness or disability (i.e., work-related sickness absence [WSA], or all-cause sickness absence [ACSA])
- Question from the SLID: Not counting fully paid vacations, were you absent from the job for a period of one-week or longer?
- ACSA
- [If yes,], what was the main reason for this absence [12-response categories provided with the first option being "own illness or disability"]?
- WSA
- [If own illness or disability], was this due to a work-related illness or injury [yes/no]?
- WSA measure has been compared to WSIB lost-time claim rates of one week or longer (Mustard et al. 2003)– found comparable trend



Explanatory Variables

- Key explanatory variables were:
- i) Variable indicating if job is temporary or permanent (Q: Is your job permanent, or is there some way that it is not permanent (e.g., seasonal, temporary, term, casual etc?)
- ii) Set of variables indicating number of months on the job (1-3, 4-6, 6+)
- iii) Proxies for social protection:
 - a) member of a union(y/n)
 - b) firm size (<20/=>20)
- Other variables: job-type (manual, mixed, non-manual); number of hours/month; multiple jobholder; age; sex; and educational attainment; self-reported health status (fair/poor vs. good/very good/excellent) prior to commencement of job



Statistical Methodology

- Unit of analysis was person-job-month
- Duration modeling to examine the probability of exit to a work absence (WSA or ACSA) in each month
- Complementary log-log link function for continuous time processes with clustered data
- Used scaled weights derived from population weights
- Corrected for correlated errors due to multiple observations within each
 person-job episode



Descriptive Statistics

	Study 1 (WSA)	Study 2 (ACSA)
calendar years of sample	2000-2003	2000-2004
Number of persons	4,771	5,307
Number of person-jobs	7,953	9,574
Total observations (person-job-months)	115,488	144,046
Number of absences	167	635
Percentage of jobs with absences	2.1%	6.6%
Percentage of jobs that were temporary	34%	40%
Percentage of jobs 6+ months that were temporary	23%	22%



Duration Modeling Results

	Study 1 (WSA)		Study 2 (ACSA)	
Explanatory Variables	% Change		% Change	
Temporary job	-36%		-37%	*
Duration 1-3 months	-33%		-27%	*
Duration 4-6 months	-64%	**	-27%	
Union member	58%	*	40%	**
Firm size of 19 or less	-29%		-21%	
Manual job	151%	**	39%	*
Mixed job (some manual work)	51%		19%	
Hours worked in month (10 hour units)	2%		0%	
Multiple job holder	-77%	**	-54%	**
Age (in years)	1%		0%	
Male	-4%		-29%	**
Less than high school	21%		72%	*
High school diploma	25%		75%	**
Fair/poor health prior to start of job	227%	**	164%	**

** 1% significance, * 5% significance



Results Summary for Study 1

- Probability of WSA similar for temporary and permanent job holders
- 1-3 months tenure no more likely to have a WSA than 6+ months group
- 4-6 months tenure were 64% less likely to exit to a WSA (compared to 6+ months group)
- Union membership associated with 58% higher risk of WSA
- No effect of firm size on WSA
- Other significant variables: manual job (higher risk), multiple job holding (lower risk), and low prior health status (higher risk)



Results Summary for Study 2

- Probability of ACSA 37% lower for temporary job holders
- 1-3 months tenure were 27% less likely to have an ACSA than the 6+ months group
- 4-6 months tenure were equally as likely to exit to a ACSA (compared to 6+ months group)
- Union membership associated with 40% higher risk of ACSA
- No effect of firm size on WSA
- Socio-demographic characteristics: sex (men had lower risk); lower educational attainment (higher risk)
- Other significant variables: manual job (higher risk), multiple job holding (lower risk), and low prior health status (higher risk)



Implications

- Temporary employment not associated with increased WSA of one week or longer
- Possibly exposure and disincentive are greater and cancel each other
- Possibly too few WSA to detect an effect
- Temporary employment associated with decreased ACSA
- Suggests lack of social protection outweighs individual health concerns
- Lack of social protection as a disincentive for absence taking also apparent with short tenure
- Social protection provided by union membership dominates possible reductions in risk exposure that may be associated with unionization
- Need to further investigate prevalence of higher exposures among temporary workers



Manuscript Citation References

Tompa E, Scott-Marshall H, Fang M. 2008. The Impact of Temporary Employment and Job Tenure on Work-related Sickness Absences. *Occupational and Environmental Medicine*, 65.12:801-807.

Tompa E, Scott-Marshall H, Fang M. 2010. Social Protection and the Employment Contract: The Impact on Work Absence. forthcoming in *Work: A Journal of Prevention, Assessment and Rehabilitation*, 37.3.



Research Excellence Advancing Employee Health www.iwh.on.ca